Wiltshire Council

Western Area Planning Committee

2 July 2014

Appeal Performance 2013

1. Purpose of Report

To review the outcomes of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate on appeals in the area covered by the Western Area Planning Committee in 2013.

2. Appeal Decisions

The Western Area Planning Committee met 11 times and considered 41 applications in 2013. Six of these were refused, of which 3 were refused against the recommendation of officers, whilst three were refused in accordance with the reason for refusal recommended by officers.

Out of these 6 decisions to refuse planning permission, two have been taken to appeal, both of these where refusal was against officer recommendation. Both of these were allowed on appeal, with costs being awarded against the Council for unreasonable behaviour in refusing the application at Warminster, where the applicant had amended the proposal to comply with suggestions made by the Inspector on a previous appeal. A copy of the appeal costs decision is attached to this report. A list of the applications refused by the committee and the subsequent appeal decisions are set out in Table A.

Table A - Applications Refused by Western Area Planning Committee 2013

Reference	Parish	Location	Description	Appeal Decision
W/13/00882/FUL	L Deverill	Manor Farm	Single dwelling	Not appealed
W/13/00711/FUL	Warminster	Savernake Close	6 dwellings	Not appealed
13/01891/FUL	N Bradley	Honey Well Farm	1 house (holiday let)	Not appealed
13/01823/FUL	Trowbridge	Westwood Road	Household extension	Not appealed
13/03824/FUL	Warminster	R/O Westbury Road	2 dwellings (new design)	Allowed
W/12/02050/FUL	Semington	Littleton Stables	Travellers pitch	Allowed

During 2013, the Council received decisions on a further 16 appeals against refusals made under delegated powers in the area covered by the Western Area Planning Committee. Fifteen of these were dismissed, including an appeal against an enforcement notice for access widening at Heywood and an appeal against a High Hedges Remedial Notice at Edington. The only appeal allowed was for the change of use of rooms at Whaddon Grove Farm for bed and breakfast accommodation, where the highway reason put forward was not upheld. All 5 refusals of applications for new residential development were dismissed, including major developments at Hilperton, Westbury and Warminster. There were no cost awards against the Council in any delegated decision. The list of appeal decisions made on delegated decisions is set out in Table B.

Table B

Appeal Decisions Received in 2013 on Applications Refused under Delegated Powers

Reference W/12/00698/FUL W/12/00589/FUL W/12/01926/FUL W/12/01447/FUL W/12/02270/FUL W/12/00726/FUL W/12/00726/FUL W/12/01840/OUT W/12/02323/OUT W/11/01746/OUT W/12/01018/FUL W/13/00712/FUL W/13/00072/FUL	Parish Bradford Crockerton Melksham Melksham W Bradford Westbury Hilperton Westbury Warminster Warminster Bulkington Hilperton Warminster	Location Flat 1, 11, Frome Road Clay Street 36A Roundpond N of Beanacre House 269, Widbrook 17, Chalford Marsh Road Fairdown Avenue Brook Street 58, Ash Street 14, High Street Marsh road 51, Ashley Place	Description UpVc windows Stable building Access Cou to garden Extension in GB Cou to accommodation 30 dwellings 29 dwellings 28 dwellings 1 dwelling H/Holder Extension Storage of building mats New dwelling	Decision Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed Dismissed
W/12/00150/FUL Enforcement 10-00147-ENF	Melksham Heywood	Whaddon Grove Farm Church Road	Rooms for B & B Access widening	Allowed Dismissed
Trees W/12/00001/HH	Edington	Edington Priory	High Hedge Remedial Notice	Dismissed

Since January 2014, there have been a further two refusals of planning permission by the Western Area Planning Committee, both of which have been taken to appeal. (Gypsy/traveller site at Semington and pool cover at Warminster). A hearing for the former is set for August whilst a decision on the latter is awaited.

Since October 2013, the Government have now empowered Planning Inspectors to award costs in any appeal where the Inspector considers that a party has acted unreasonably, either in submitting the appeal or refusing the application. Previously, an Inspector could only award costs where a party specifically applied for them.

Report Author – Mike Wilmott, Area Development Manager.

Appendix – Decision by Planning Inspectorate on costs award application on application 13/03824/FUL, Warminster